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Abstract

The purpose of this study is to investigate the relation among computer self-efficacy perception level and attitude towards the Internet with achievement of candidate teachers. Candidate teachers studying in educational faculties are chosen for the universe. The sample determined by appropriate sampling method includes total 342 candidate teachers, 34.80 % (n=119) from Cyprus International University (CIU), 38.30 % (n=131) from Adnan Menderes University (ADU) and 26.90 % (n=92) from Istanbul University (IU). The data were collected through Perception of Computer Self Efficacy Scale, developed by Aşkar ve Umay (2001), and Attitude Towards Internet Scale developed by Tavşancıl and Keser (2001). In addition to these scales, candidate teachers’ achievement scores were obtained from student affairs. For the analysis of the data frequency, mean, t-test, F-test (ANOVA), and Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient were used. Significance level was taken as .05. As a result, it was found that the university in which the students studied is effective on computer self-efficacy, attitude towards the Internet and achievement in computer course. Gender is effective only on achievement in computer course. Also, it was determined that there is a significant correlation among computer self-efficacy, attitude towards the Internet and achievement in computer course.
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Introduction

Rapid developments in science and technology also affect the education system as it is in all areas. This situation makes new technologies that have important role in the development of the quality in education required to enter the education organizations. (Akkoyunlu and Tuğrul, 2002; Aktümen and Kaçar, 2003). Studies show that individuals who have higher computer self-efficacy are more ambitious to attend to the activities of computer and they have higher expectations from those kinds of studies (Aşkar and Umay 2001). In addition these individuals cope with a problem about computer more easily (Karsten and Roth 1998; Compeau and Higgins 1995).
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Studies that analyzed the relationship between computer self-efficacy and experience have given strange results. For instance, Torkzadeh and Koufteros (1994) indicated that students’ computer self-efficacy increase meaningfully during their training. Aşkar and Umay (2001) indicated that inexperience and less computer usage cause students to have low computer self efficacy. According to them, inexperience and less computer usage cause students to have low computer self efficacy and low computer self efficacy affects in experience and less computer usage in much more negative way. Researchers indicated that students should be encouraged to use computer. Akkoyunlu and Orhan (2003) emphasized positive experiences affect computer self-efficacy believes of individuals in a positive way and negative experiences affect computer self-efficacy believes of individuals in a negative way.

The other one of the most important variables that affects the usage of computer is attitude towards computer. In the researches it was determined that the more individuals have computer experience, the more positive attitude towards computer they have (Deniz, 2000) while individuals who do not have any experience of computer have negative attitude towards computer (Hashim and Mustapha, 2004).

Using computer as an education tool is a feature that a teacher should possess in the developing education concept. Çavuş and Gökdaş (2006), and Arslan (2006) emphasized the importance of basic computer skills and Internet usage training that teachers and especially candidate teachers take in terms of their success during their jobs and they indicate that this provide them benefit from computer and Internet effectively. At this point of view developing computer self-efficacy and attitudes of candidate teachers is very important in terms of using computer and information technologies in teaching activities.

Because of its interactive feature Internet provides contribution to learning and provides materials almost all subjects that can be used directly in the courses. Therefore, it is said that it changes the view of the learning environment and evaluating methods completely since it provides a design that has pictures and graphics (Sünbül, 2002:171).
When the Internet is thought as an important necessity in the environment of training and education, it is clear that attitudes of teachers who have the job of training individuals who will use Internet technology in many areas towards the Internet have an important role. Firstly teachers should develop positive attitudes towards Internet usage in order to make students to develop positive attitudes towards the Internet.

When it is told candidate teacher’s attitude towards the Internet, emotion, thought and behaviors about the frame and aim of the Internet. In order to use Internet that becomes the most important communication and teaching tool effectively and efficiently, first of all the attitudes of the candidate teachers should be known. Designing, applying and evaluating learning and teaching processes that provide efficiency and effectiveness is one of the main functions of the education technologies. As a result we have to accept that Internet is an integral part of modern life. Therefore, determining candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacies, and achievement in a computer course is seen important.

**The Aim of the Research**

The aim of this study is to analyze candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacies, and achievement in a computer course based on gender, and universities in which they get educated.

**Research Question**

The research question is: “What are the relations (if there is) among candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, use self-efficacies, and academic achievement in a computer course?” Answers were sought to sub-questions below in the direction of the main question:

a. Do candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacy, and achievement in a computer course differ according to gender?
b. Do candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacy, and achievement in a computer course differ according to universities that they get educated?

c. Is there a any correlation among candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacy, and achievement in a computer course?

Method

Model of the Research

This research that has been realized with relation search model is in the type of descriptive method. Descriptive method that is used commonly aims describing the situation that is interested. Searching models are research concept that aims to describe the situation as it is (Karasar, 2009).

Universe and Sample

Students who study in Turkey and Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus constitute the universe of the research. Sample of the research was determined with appropriate sampling method. The sample includes totally 342 students from Adnan Menderes University (ADU), İstanbul University (IU) and Cyprus International University (CIU) % 36.30 (n=124) of whom are males and % 63.70 (n=218) of whom are females.

Data Collecting Tools

“Perception of Computer Self-Efficacy Scale”, developed by Aşkar ve Umay (2001), with 71 Cronbach alpha reliability was used in the study. In addition, “Attitude towards the Internet Scale”, developed by Tavşancıl and Keser (2001) with .89 Cronbach alpha reliability was used to collect data.

Analysis of the Data

The obtained data were analyzed with the SPSS. To determine whether there was any difference between the groups the t-test was used for two-sided comparisons and the F-
test was used for multiple-sided comparisons. The Scheffe significance test was used to determine from which group the significant differences resulted from. Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was used. The significance level was accepted as .05 in the study.

Findings

The first sub-question of the research was “Do candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacies, and academic achievement in a computer course differ according to gender?” T-test was used to determine whether there is any significant differences according to gender.

Table 1. T-Test Results for Attitudes towards the Internet, Computer Self-Efficacies, and Achievements According to Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer Self-Efficacy (CSE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>57.867</td>
<td>9.459</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>57.838</td>
<td>9.822</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes towards the Internet (ATTI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>59.885</td>
<td>18.264</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>.855</td>
<td>.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>61.693</td>
<td>19.730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievement in a Computer Course (ACC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>83.100</td>
<td>10.293</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>2.170</td>
<td>.031*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>80.475</td>
<td>11.529</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<.05

According to the t-test result, t-test result it was determined that university students’ achievement in a computer course differ significantly according to gender (t=2.170; p<.05). As it is shown in Table 1, it can be said that the achievements of female students is higher than those of female students. However, it can be said that students’ attitude levels towards the Internet and Computer Self-Efficacies levels do not differ significantly based on gender.

The second sub-question of the research was expressed as “Do candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacies, and achievement in a computer course differentiate according to universities that they get educated?” One way analyses of variance (ANOVA) was used in order to determine whether there is a statistical
meaningful differentiation among candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, Computer Self-Efficacies, and achievement in a computer course significant difference according to universities that they get educated.

As a result of one way analyses of variance (ANOVA), it was determined that university students’ attitudes towards the Internet, Computer Self-Efficacies, and achievement in a computer course are affected by independent university variable. Scheffe meaningfulness test was applied to data in order to determine the groups that cause differentiation in candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, Computer Self-Efficacies, and achievement in a computer course.

Table 2. F-test (ANOVA) Results for Attitudes towards the Internet (ATTI), Computer Self-Efficacy (CSE), and Achievement in a Computer Course (ACC) According to Universities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Meaningful difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADU (a)</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>55.465</td>
<td>9.366</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.908</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>a-c**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IU (b)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>57.880</td>
<td>9.853</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIU (c)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>60.470</td>
<td>8.963</td>
<td>341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>57.856</td>
<td>9.578</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADU (a)</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>50.488</td>
<td>14.569</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27.579</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>a-c**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IU (b)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>53.152</td>
<td>15.682</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b-c**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIU (c)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>77.319</td>
<td>12.619</td>
<td>341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>60.540</td>
<td>18.800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADU (a)</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>84.564</td>
<td>10.506</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.331</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>b-a**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IU (b)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>78.684</td>
<td>10.862</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIU (c)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>82.168</td>
<td>10.468</td>
<td>341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>82.149</td>
<td>10.815</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<.05

According to the Scheffe test difference derives from the groups who indicate their university as “ADU” and “CIU” in terms of Computer Self-Efficacies dependent variable; differentiation derives from the groups who indicate their university as “ADU”, “CIU”, “IU” and “CIU” in terms of attitudes towards the Internet. It was determined that Computer Self-Efficacies of the students of CIU was higher than the students of other universities. The difference derives from the groups who indicate their university as “IU” and “ADU” in terms of achievement in a computer course. The students of “ADU” have higher achievement in a computer course means than the other universities’ students. It is
seen that score means of the students of “CIU” are higher when the score means of attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacy was analyzed.

The third sub-question of the research was expressed as “Is there a any correlation among candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacy, and achievement in a computer course?

Table 3. Correlation Results among Attitudes towards the Internet, Computer Self-Efficacy, and Achievement in a Computer Course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes towards the Internet-Computer Self-Efficacy</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>.219**</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes towards the Internet-Achievement in a Computer Course</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Self-Efficacy- Achievement in a Computer Course</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>.175**</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed).

When the Table 3 was analyzed, significant correlation among university students’ attitudes towards the Internet and computer self-efficacy (r=.219), computer self-efficacy and academic achievement in the computer course (r=.175) was determined.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

There is no statistically significant difference, candidate teachers’ Computer Self-Efficacies, and candidate teachers’ attitudes towards the Internet, supported by Akkoyunlu and Orhan (2003), Köseoğlu et al. (2007), Akkoyunlu (2008), and Adalıer (2009) according to the gender. There is statistically significant difference, candidate teachers’ computer self-efficacies, supported by Karsten and Roth (1998), Nagira (2000), Torkzadeh and Van Dyke (2002), Wu and Tsai (2006), Vekiri and Chronaki (2008), Pamuk and Peker (2009), and Adalıer and Serin (2010). It is seen that score means of the students of “CIU” are higher when the score means of attitudes towards the Internet, Computer Self-Efficacies was analyzed in Table 2. This situation can be explained by higher computer and Internet experiences of the students in Cyprus International University. First, Cyprus International University use moodle system (students have to use this system and Internet in order to find course descriptions, deliver projects, getting all kinds of documents about the course.
such as presentations, results of the exams). The second, students of CIU have connection to the Internet in everywhere at the university such as in the dormitories’ rooms and computer hall, and there is wireless Internet connection around the cafes. And the third one, since the telephone is expensive they communicate with their parents and friends on the Internet (facebook, msn, twitter..). Moreover, Wu and Tsai (2006), in their study, find out that university students’ Internet attitudes were highly correlated with their Internet self-efficacy. According to the some researches significant correlation was found between computer Computer Self-Efficacies and attitudes towards the Internet in a positive way (Durndell and Haag, 2002; Salanova et al., 2000; Tsai and Tsai, 2003). As a result of the research it was determined that university students’ attitudes towards the Internet, computer self-efficacies, and achievement in a computer course are affected by independent university variable, and university students’ achievement in a computer course significant difference according to gender. It was determined that there was meaningful relation among university students’ attitudes towards the Internet, Computer Self-Efficacies, and achievement in a computer course.

Finally, based on the findings of this research, the below suggestions were given:

- Training candidate teachers with the knowledge of Internet by adding courses about how to use Internet in the process of teaching learning to the syllabus of the organizations that train teachers.

- In order develop better attitude towards the Internet, richer Internet facilities should be provided at schools and dormitories.
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